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ABSTRACT: Rechargeable aqueous zinc anodes have gained tremendous attention because of their merits of intrinsic safety, low cost, and high theoretical volumetric capacity (5854 mAh cm$^{-3}$ for Zn metal). In aqueous electrolytes, zinc anodes suffer from severe dendritic metal deposition. The regulation of Zn by inducing Zn-alloying metals has been reported. However, the underlying mechanisms have remained elusive. Here, for the first time, we did a comprehensive analysis to elucidate the mechanisms for the seeded and nondendritic growth of Zn on alloy anodes. We achieved uniform Zn deposition by introducing a Zn-alloying and soluble metal, Ag, on Zn anodes. Due to a shift of thermodynamic potential and the spatial confinement, the Ag-modified Zn anode exhibited improved overall cycling performance compared with previous deep-cycle Zn anodes. Furthermore, the seeded Zn deposition was visualized in operando for the first time using an optical microscope. The alloy-seeding design principle here can potentially be applied to improve the rechargeability of other metal anodes.

The current power-storage landscape is dominated by lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) because they provide high energy density and high rechargeability. However, key elemental components for LIBs, such as Li and Co, will become much more costly to obtain in the near future. In addition, flammable organic electrolytes, which are used in LIBs, have raised numerous safety concerns. As the size of a battery unit increases, the safety management of that unit poses additional challenges. Compared with batteries with flammable organic electrolytes, batteries with aqueous electrolytes, in which water is used as the solvent, generally feature better intrinsic safety, higher ionic conductivity and lower costs. Among metals that are stable in water, zinc possesses the lowest redox potential ($-0.762$ V versus standard hydrogen electrode) and is therefore the most active metal anode compatible with aqueous electrolytes. Moreover, zinc has several other advantages, including a high theoretical capacity (820 mAh g$^{-1}$), high volumetric capacity (5854 versus 2062 mAh cm$^{-3}$ for Li), low cost, and low toxicity. These distinctive merits as well as new technologies that have been co-developed with LIBs, such as precise electrode material synthesis, advanced accessory cell components, and powerful diagnosis techniques, have enabled a potential revival of zinc-based aqueous batteries. Pairing zinc anodes with oxygen cathodes can yield Zn-air batteries, which have prominent theoretical gravimetric and volumetric energy densities (1093 Wh kg$^{-1}$ and 6134 Wh L$^{-1}$, respectively).

In aqueous electrolytes, zinc anodes suffer from severe nonuniform and dendritic metal deposition, which results in the capacity decay over cycling. There are a few papers (summarized in Table S1) have reported that the addition of Au, Ag, Cu, Al, or In on Zn anodes can effectively regulate Zn and improve the cycle life. On the basis of the success of adding these Zn-alloying metals, it is a key step toward high-performance Zn batteries to understand the mechanisms for the regulation of Zn and improvement, which have not been clearly elucidated yet.

Here, we report the mechanisms for the regulation of Zn on alloy anodes through comprehensive analysis for the first time. We discovered experimentally and computationally that in an alkaline electrolyte: (1) the Zn metal nucleation barrier substantially differs among different substrates, with some
Zn-soluble materials showing zero potential barrier; (2) the formation of alloys between Zn and Zn-alloying materials is spontaneous during the electrochemical reduction of Zn(II), as a result of a negative Gibbs free energy of formation of Zn alloys. These discoveries suggest it feasible to nucleate and accommodate Zn metal. As a proof of concept, a spatially controlled and uniform Zn deposition through heterogeneous seeded growth has been achieved using Ag seeds. Notably, some past reports have claimed seeded growth of Zn on Zn-alloying metals, however, which lacks evidence because seeded Zn deposition has not been clearly visualized. Here we visualized the seeded Zn deposition in operando for the first time using an optical microscope. The results of density functional theory suggest a more energetic Zn stripping process from Zn$_{1-x}$Ag$_x$ alloys and thus higher electrochemical potential of the Zn$^{2-}$/Zn$^{0}$ redox than the Zn$^{2-}$/Zn redox, which can explain the anti-corrosion property of Zn alloy phases reported recently.

When the molar ratio between deposited Zn and Ag was controlled at 1, 2, and 3, the resultant Ag-nanoparticle-loaded carbon paper (C-Ag) achieved superior cycle lives of 75, 187, and 250 cycles, respectively, compared with 273, 33, and 38 cycles for C electrodes, respectively. In addition, the Ag-modified Zn anode exhibits superior overall cycling performance compared with previously reported deeply cycled Zn anodes in alkaline electrolytes.

**Choice of Seed Materials.** We screened seven common metallic substrates (Ag, Au, Cu, Ti, Fe, Pt and Ni) that can potentially alloy with Zn. These substrates were prepared by evaporating the corresponding metal to a thickness of 100 nm onto Cu foils. The corresponding binary phase diagrams are shown in Figures 1a and S1. The solubility zone is labeled as (Zn). Carbon-fiber paper, which does not alloy with or dissolve in zinc, was also added in the above series as a control sample. We constructed a three-electrode electrochemical cell that consisted of the substrate of interest as the working electrode, Hg/HgO as the reference electrode and Zn foil as the counter electrode. Zn metal was galvanostatically deposited onto the working electrode at 3 mA cm$^{-2}$ in an alkaline electrolyte (ZnO-saturated 4 M KOH aqueous solution).

Figure 1b shows the voltage profiles of Zn deposition onto various substrates (Ag, Au, Cu, Ti, carbon). The Zn metal nucleation barrier is defined as the difference between the initial voltage dip and the later part of the voltage plateau, which is related to the miscibility of these materials with Zn. Some materials have definite solubilities in Zn according to the phase diagrams, including Ag (<0.5 at.% at 100 °C), Au (∼2 at.% at 0 °C), and Cu (∼0.1 at.% at 100 °C). The solubility of Ti in Zn is negligible. Although the solubility of Ag and Cu in Zn at room temperature are not directly available from the phase diagrams, it is reasonable to extrapolate that there will still be some solubility at room temperature. A high potential barrier (∼30 mV) is observed on carbon, which is due to the heterogeneous nucleation barrier (Figure 1b, bottom inset). Ti with slight solubility in Zn shows a relatively low potential barrier of 12 mV. Metals including Ag, Cu, and Au exhibit low potential barriers (4 mV for Ag, 7 mV for Cu, and 8 mV for Au) for Zn deposition, which can be attributed to their higher solubilities in Zn. Take Ag as an example, the dissolution of surface Ag into Zn forms a solid-solution surface layer (Figure 1b, top inset), which has an identical crystal structure to that of pure Zn metal (hcp) and thus can serve as a buffer layer and eliminate nucleation barriers for subsequent Zn deposition. Pt, Ni and Fe have drastically different voltage profiles from above metals, due to their high activities toward hydrogen evolution reaction in alkaline electrolytes. Even though Pt, Ni and Fe can form alloy phases with Zn or are soluble in Zn according to the phase diagrams, no Zn is plated on them as evidenced by their lack of Zn stripping capacity (Figure S2).

We hypothesize that Zn-soluble materials with low nucleation barriers can regulate the deposition of Zn metal. Among the materials we have tested, Ag exhibits the lowest potential barrier of Zn nucleation. Thus, it was chosen to demonstrate the concept. In addition, Ag can react with Zn to form crystalline γ, γ, and ε-Zn$_{1-x}$Ag$_x$ alloy phases, as indicated by the Ag-Zn phase diagram. A computerized calculation of phase diagrams (CALPHAD) technique was used to obtain the Gibbs free energy of formations at room temperature of Zn, γ, and ε-Zn$_{1-x}$Ag$_x$ alloy phases observed in our experiments. The corresponding electrochemical potential shift of Zn$^{2-}$/Zn$^{0}$/Zn$_{1-x}$Ag$_x$ is spontaneous during Zn plating. As a result, Ag can potentially be used as a seed to (1) nucleate Zn with a low potential barrier and (2) accommodate Zn by forming alloy phases.

**Seeded and Uniform Zn Deposition.** To evaluate the possibility of Ag as a seed to spatially control the Zn electrodeposition (Figure 2a), we patterned Ag islands as the seeding material on the C substrate (Figure S3), onto which Zn (0.698 mA cm$^{-2}$ electrodes, 2.792 mA cm$^{-2}$ Ag-Island) was galvanostatically deposited at 2.4 mA cm$^{-2}$ (9.6 mA cm$^{-2}$ Ag-Island). As shown in the operando optical microscopy...
images (Figure 2b, captured from Video 1), most of the Zn was preferentially deposited onto the Ag patterns. A set of operando images with high magnification is shown in Figure S4 (captured from Video 2). EDS mapping (Figure 2c) of the electrode after the Zn deposition process further confirmed the seeded Zn deposition.

Nonuniform and dendritic metal deposition is a well-known problem for Zn anodes (Figure 3a). By spatially controlling and accommodating Zn deposition, a uniform Zn plating is expected to be achieved (Figure 3b). To demonstrate this, we first loaded Ag nanoparticles onto the inert carbon-fiber paper substrate (C-Ag, Figures S5 and S6). Ag nanoparticles and
polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) binder were mixed in N-methylpyrrolidone, and the resultant slurry was drop-cast onto carbon paper substrates. The diameter of the Ag nanoparticles, which exhibited a face-centered cubic (FCC) structure, was less than 50 nm (Figure S7). We then galvanostatically cycled the carbon paper and C-Ag electrodes in ZnO-saturated 4 M KOH electrolyte and imaged both electrodes ex-situ after Zn plating (5.3 mAh cm$^{-2}$) at 64 mA cm$^{-2}$, which was more than twice the mass-transport limiting current density (30 mA cm$^{-2}$, Figure S8). Under such conditions that Zn dendrite formation was favored, dendritic Zn deposition was observed on the carbon paper electrode undoubtedly (Figure 3c). In contrast, nondendritic Zn plating was achieved on the C-Ag electrode (Figures 3d and S9) even at a current density higher than the limited value. Besides, Zn was also plated on the electrodes at a current density of 18 mA cm$^{-2}$, lower than the mass-transport limit. These electrodes were monitored in operando using an optical microscope. As shown in Figure 3e and Video 3, dendritic Zn was not formed with the operating current below the mass-transport limit, however, mossy and poorly nucleated Zn deposits were observed on the bare carbon paper with high Zn nucleation barrier during charging at the second cycle. In addition, dead Zn that remained from the first cycle was observed on the carbon paper at the beginning of the second charge, and grew in charging, which meant that the dead Zn reconnected to the carbon paper and served as the core for further Zn deposition. The break and reconnection of dead Zn could lead to the instability of Zn anodes (fluctuation of capacity) during battery cycling, as presented in Figure 5a, below. In contrast, the Zn deposited onto the C-Ag electrode with negligible Zn nucleation barrier was uniform, and no dead Zn was observed in the fully discharged state (Figure 3f, captured from Video 4).

Mechanism of Zn Plating/Stripping in the Presence of Alloying Seeds. The C-Ag electrode was assembled into a full Ni-Zn battery using Ni(OH)$_2$ as the cathode and ZnO-saturated 4 M KOH as the electrolyte. The Zn(OH)$_4^{2-}$ from the electrolyte can be electrochemically reduced and deposited onto the C-Ag electrode. The charge capacities were controlled to be 497, 994 and 1491 mAh g$^{-1}$, so that the molar ratios of deposited Zn to Ag (Zn:Ag) are 1, 2, and 3 assuming no side reactions. In the rest of this article, we use “Zn:Ag = 1”, “Zn:Ag = 2” and “Zn:Ag = 3” to represent our charging conditions. The actual Zn:Ag are approximately 0.7, 1.7, and 2.8, respectively, when Coulombic inefficiency is considered. To characterize crystalline Zn$_{x}$Ag$_{1-x}$ alloys under different charging conditions, we performed ex-situ X-ray diffraction (XRD) for the C-Ag electrode and observed that Zn$_{x}$Ag$_{1-x}$ alloy phases ($\epsilon$, $\zeta$) were formed successfully (see Figure S10, Table S2 for detailed description of alloy phases and compositions). The formation of Zn$_{x}$Ag$_{1-x}$ alloys was also confirmed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mappings (Figure S11).

Upon galvanostatic cycling, the voltage profile (Figure 4a) showed one charge plateau and two apparent discharge plateaus (1.8–1.6 V and 1.6–1.4 V). To probe the phase transitions of the C-Ag electrode during cycling at Zn:Ag = 3, we conducted ex-situ XRD analysis (Figure 4b) of the C-Ag anode at 5 different charge/discharge states, as noted in Figure 4a. The C-Ag electrodes (~0.67 mAh cm$^{-2}$ at Zn:Ag = 3) were charged/discharged at 0.67 mA cm$^{-2}$. In charged states (1)
and (2), the Zn and Zn$_{\text{Ag}_{1-x}}$ alloy phases coexist. During charging from (1) to (2), the peak intensities of Zn increased while the Zn$_{\text{Ag}_{1-x}}$ alloy peaks remained almost unchanged. This result indicates that alloy phases formed prior to the formation of the Zn phase, which is reasonable because alloy phases possess a negative Gibbs free energy of formation. It is counterintuitive that only one charge plateau exists while both Zn and Zn$_{\text{Ag}_{1-x}}$ form. We speculate that the alloy formation rate may be kinetically slower than the Zn deposition rate, which results in only one charge plateau attributed to the Zn$_2^+/\text{Zn}$ redox. Afterward, the Zn and Ag atoms may diffuse slowly to spontaneously form the Zn$_{\text{Ag}_{1-x}}$ alloy. When discharged to state (3), the end of the first discharge plateau, the Zn peak intensity in the corresponding XRD pattern dramatically decreased, while the Zn$_{\text{Ag}_{1-x}}$ alloy peak intensities remained almost unchanged. This result implies that the first discharge plateau (1.8–1.6 V) is mainly attributable to Zn stripping from Zn$_2^+/\text{Zn}$ redox. Afterward, the Zn$_{\text{Ag}_{1-x}}$ alloy peaks decreased at state (4) and their intensities clearly decreased when the battery reached the fully discharged state (5). We concluded that the second discharge plateau (1.6–1.4 V) was associated mainly with Zn stripping from the Zn$_{\text{Ag}_{1-x}}$ alloys, which is consistent with our CALPHAD results that the Zn$_2^+/\text{Zn}_{\text{Ag}_{1-x}}$ redox had a higher potential than Zn$_2^+/\text{Zn}$. In addition, alloy phases became Zn-leaker during discharging, as evidenced by the negative shift in the characteristic peak positions of the ε-Zn$_{\text{Ag}_{1-x}}$ phase (Figure S9), which also matched our CALPHAD results indicating that Zn-lean alloy phases possessed larger electrochemical potential. We also observed that the Ag nanoparticles could not recover to their original crystal structure after cycling, as evidenced by the unrecovered Ag peaks and the existence of Zn-lean Zn$_{\text{Ag}_{1-x}}$ alloy phases in the fully discharged state (5). The remaining Ag peaks in both the charged and discharged states belong to unreacted Ag nanoparticles, which might be encapsulated by PVDF and thus inactive.

To further understand the Zn stripping process at the atomic level, we simulated models of pure Zn metal and Zn$_{0.5}$Ag$_{0.5}$ alloy crystals in silico and investigated the relative energetic cost of Zn removal from various termination environments using density functional theory (DFT). The Zn$_{0.5}$Ag$_{0.5}$ alloy phase was chosen for the DFT study because it was the phase detected during our experiments; furthermore, its crystal structure is relatively simple. Nanoparticles under cyclic dissolution and reformation in a battery environment display...
a range of morphologies and surface environments. Therefore, two surfaces for each material (Zn metal and Zn$_{0.5}$Ag$_{0.5}$ alloy) were selected to represent a low-coordination-number environment (100 and 001 surfaces for Zn and Zn$_{0.5}$Ag$_{0.5}$, respectively) and a high-coordination-number environment (001 and 110 surfaces for Zn and Zn$_{0.5}$Ag$_{0.5}$, respectively) (Figure S12). We considered multiple possibilities for Zn removal for each slab model to probe the various thermodynamic states in cases of chemically unique Zn atoms. A full report of all of our data is available in Table S3. For the 001 surface termination of Zn$_{0.5}$Ag$_{0.5}$, both the Zn-terminated and Ag-terminated surfaces were studied, although the Ag-terminated surface was found to be much more energetically stable and thus was the one we considered in our data. We report the minimum energy to remove Zn from each material/termination environment in Figure 4c. The minimum energy to remove Zn was 1.10 eV for Zn with a 100 surface termination and 1.40 eV for Zn$_{0.5}$Ag$_{0.5}$ with a 001 surface termination, which indicates the Zn atom is less reactive in the Zn$_{0.5}$Ag$_{0.5}$ alloy than in the pure Zn metal. These DFT results provide some insight into the more energetic Zn stripping process from Zn$_{Ag_{1−x}}$ alloys and thus higher electrochemical potential of the Zn$^{2+}$/Zn$_{Ag_{1−x}}$ redox than the Zn$^{2+}$/Zn redox, which can also explain the anticorrosion property of Zn alloy phases reported recently. 39–40

To confirm that the two discharge plateaus of the full battery were caused by the C-Ag anode instead of the Ni(OH)$_2$ cathode, the voltage profile of a full Ni-Zn battery using the carbon paper as the anode is presented in Figure S13. Only one discharge plateau was observed when Ag was not added to the anode. We also carried out cyclic voltammetry (CV) of the C-Ag electrode using a three-electrode electrochemical cell that consisted of the substrate of interest as the working electrode, Hg/HgO as the reference electrode, Zn foil as the counter electrode and a Zn$_2$O-saturated 4 M KOH aqueous solution as the electrolyte. The result was in good agreement with our analysis that the two discharge plateaus were due to the different electrochemical potentials of the Zn$^{2+}$/Zn and Zn$^{2+}$/Zn$_{Ag_{1−x}}$ redox reactions on the C-Ag electrode (Figure S14).

**Stable Cycling of Ag-Seeded Zn Anodes.** In previous sections, the spatially controlled and uniform Zn deposition on the Ag seeds was demonstrated and investigated. To further evaluate the cyclic stability of Ag-seeded Zn anodes (C-Ag), pouch-type Ni-Zn batteries were assembled and tested. Batteries with bare C anodes were also assembled and tested under the same conditions. During charging, zinctates dissolved in the electrolyte were electrochemically reduced at the anode. Less than 7.5% of zinctates from the electrolyte were consumed in each cycle, to minimize the hydrogen evolution side reaction.

As shown in the cycling results (Figures 5a and S15–S17), instead of steady capacity decay, batteries undergo irregular capacity decay with intensified fluctuations of capacity over cycling, which is indicative of battery degradation. To better analyze the cyclic stabilities of the C-Ag and C electrodes, we constructed a box-and-whisker plot to show the statistic distributions of their discharge capacities over cycling (Figure 5b), where a summary of five numbers is displayed, including the “minimum”, first quartile, median, third quartile, and “maximum” discharge capacities. Three Zn:Ag ratios (1, 2, and 3) were tested. Correspondingly, the areal capacities were $\sim$0.1, $\sim$0.2, and $\sim$0.3 mAh cm$^{-2}$ with charge/discharge current density of $\sim$2.4 mA cm$^{-2}$. At all Zn:Ag ratios, the C-Ag electrodes demonstrated higher cyclic stability with less fluctuation of capacity than the C electrodes. In addition, the C-Ag electrodes also achieved a higher average discharge capacity and Coulombic efficiency than the C electrodes: 491.7 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 99.0% for C-Ag vs 487.3 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 98.1% for C at Zn:Ag = 1; 983.7 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 99.1% for C-Ag vs 893.1 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 97.3% for C at Zn:Ag = 2; 1458.9 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 98.0% for C-Ag vs 1175.4 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 96.7% for C at Zn:Ag = 3. The above statistical analysis was done within limited cycle ranges for C-Ag and C electrodes: 14980 cycles for Zn:Ag = 1, 1480 cycles for Zn:Ag = 2, and 630 cycles for Zn:Ag = 3. Due to the irregular capacity decay, the cycle life was defined as the cycle number when the relative standard deviation of discharge capacities exceeds 1%. As shown in Figure 5c, the C-Ag electrodes exhibited superior cycle lives of 75187, 2501, and 752 cycles when cycled at Zn:Ag ratios ranging from 1 to 3, respectively, while the C electrodes exhibited 273, 33, and 38 cycles, respectively. The dendritic Zn plating and break/reconnection of partial dead Zn could have led to the capacity fluctuation of C electrodes, which was visualized under the optical microscope (Figure 3e). In addition, the resultant unstable electrolyte-electrolyte interface and severe electrolyte decomposition (reacting with dead Zn and evolving H$_2$) might explain the capacity decay of C electrodes. With a simple Ag nanoparticle loading process, a spatially controlled and uniform Zn deposition through heterogeneous seeded growth is achieved, leading to the superior long-term cyclic stability of the C-Ag electrodes.

The discharge capacity/electrolyte (DC/E) ratio is an important indicator for device-level energy density. 33–35 The DC/E ratio of the cells shown in Figure 5a–c was lower than 0.8 mAh mL$^{-1}$ because they utilized a small percentage of the zinctates available from the electrolyte. To demonstrate the effect of Ag seeds on Zn anodes at a higher DC/E ratio, we predeposited $\sim$1 mAh cm$^{-2}$ Zn onto the C and C-Ag electrodes and assembled Ni-Zn full cells. As shown in Figure 5d, the C-Ag-Zn anode achieved superior cycling performance, with a Coulombic efficiency of 95% for 78 cycles; the C-Zn anode performed with a Coulombic efficiency of 92.6% for 25 cycles, which implied that the Ag addition also improved the Zn anode even at a high DC/E ratio (12 mAh mL$^{-1}$). The simple loading of Ag nanoparticles onto the Zn anode, without any complex structural or molecular design, improved its cycling performance to be comprehensively superior to that of previously reported, deeply cycled Zn anodes 55–71 (Table S4) with 100% depth-of-discharge in alkaline electrolytes (Figure 5e). Three parameters (cycle life, Coulombic efficiency, and DC/E ratio) were considered in the comparison. Notably, it was less meaningful to compare only one or two parameters; thus, only publications that reported all three values or provided necessary information to calculate them were included in our comparison. Partially discharged metallic zinc anodes were not included for comparison either, because their discharge capacity (and therefore Coulombic efficiency) is artificially controlled and cannot reflect the intrinsic property of the anodes.

When considering the mass of the carbon paper substrate, the overall areal and specific discharge capacities of the C-Ag-Zn anode were $\sim$0.6 mAh cm$^{-2}$ and $\sim$52 mAh g$^{-1}$ respectively, which are not ready for practical applications. However, the mechanistic understanding and design principles of spatial control of Zn deposition reported here may be adopted in state-of-the-art Zn anodes, particularly those with...
high areal loadings, to approach a practically relevant Zn anode for rechargeable aqueous batteries.

Besides carbon paper substrate, seeded Zn deposition was also achieved on Ag-patterned stainless steel and Ni substrates (Figures S18 and S19, Videos 5 and 6). Yet the self-discharge of Zn and the hydrogen evolution on these patterned substrates were severe due to the high hydrogen evolution activities of stainless steel and Ni in alkaline electrolytes (Videos 7 and 8). Regarding the choice of seeding materials that can alloy with Zn, we have evaluated the commercial viability of using Ag seeds from aspects of cost and energy density (Figures S20–S23). Ag alloy anode has the potential to be commercialized, especially when high-energy-density batteries or batteries with long cycle life are needed. Cu lowers the potential barrier for Zn deposition as well (Figure 1b), and has lower cost than Ag. Thus, Cu is a promising choice of Zn-alloying seed for large-scale applications.

Even though this study was conducted using alkaline electrolytes, our mechanistic understandings might also be applicable to Zn anodes operating in neutral and acidic electrolytes. Seeded growth of Zn on the Ag islands patterned on carbon paper was also achieved in a mildly acidic 2 M ZnSO₄ electrolyte (Figure S24). In addition, we have investigated Zn metal deposition onto various substrates in the 2 M ZnSO₄ electrolyte, including Ag, Cu, Fe, Ni, Au, Pt, Ti, and C. Specifically, 100 nm of the corresponding metal film was evaporated onto a Cu foil. Then, three-electrode electrochemical cells were constructed that consisted of the substrate of interest as the working electrode, Zn foil as the reference electrode and Zn foil as the counter electrode. Zn metal was galvanostatically plated and stripped in the 2 M ZnSO₄ electrolyte. As shown in the voltage profiles (Figure S25), Cu, Ag, and Fe might be good candidates to modify Zn anodes for use in mildly acidic electrolytes in terms of both Zn nucleation barrier and Coulombic efficiency.

In conclusion, we reported the mechanisms for the regulation of Zn electrodeposition on alloy anodes through comprehensive analysis for the first time. As a proof of concept, Ag loaded on an inert carbon paper substrate achieved a spatially controlled and uniform Zn deposition through heterogeneous seeded growth. Ag has two functions: (1) Ag, with a definite solubility in Zn, could help eliminate the heterogeneous Zn nucleation barrier and (2) the formation of ZnₙAg₁₋ₓ alloy phases occurs preferentially during Zn plating because of their negative Gibbs free energy of formation. As a result, the Ag nanoparticle-loaded carbon paper (C-Ag) achieved superior long-term cyclic stability compared with the C electrode. The results of density functional theory suggest a more energetic Zn stripping process from ZnₙAg₁₋ₓ alloys and thus higher electrochemical potential of the Znₙ/Znₙ₋₁ redox than the Zn²⁺/Zn redox, which can also explain the anti-corrosion property of Zn alloy phases. In addition, the seeded Zn deposition was visualized in operando for the first time using an optical microscope. Our findings can potentially guide the future design of high-performance Zn anodes in alkaline electrolytes. Similar mechanisms are expected for Zn anodes operating in neutral and acidic electrolytes as well as other metal anodes (e.g., Al, Mg, Na, K).
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